



**Agenda item: 6**

**St George Neighbourhood Partnership  
Wednesday 17<sup>th</sup> December 2014**

**Report of:** Susan Acton-Campbell,- Champion, St George Neighbourhood Partnership Wellbeing Sub-group

**Title:** Green Capital Report

**RECOMMENDATION**

1. Note the amount of Green Capital Funding available
2. Note the Wellbeing sub-group appraisal
3. The Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) is asked to approve the recommendations from the Wellbeing subgroup for Green Capital expenditure as contained in the Green Capital appraisal sheets in the appendix of this document.
4. Note the availability of additional Green Capital funding

**1. Amount Green Capital Funding available**

There is £10,000 of Green Capital funding available for this funding round.

**2. Wellbeing sub-group appraisal**

In total 8 applications were assessed by the subgroup member. 6 applications were recommended for approval, subgroup members requested further information from the remaining 2 applications.

The total amount of funds requested far exceeds the amount available from the Green Capital fund.

A 9<sup>th</sup> application document was received which gives more detail about the Green Map proposal agreed at the last Neighbourhood Partnership meeting as a project to be supported if any Green Capital funding remained available.

Eight Green Capital appraisal documents containing the recommendations of the Wellbeing sub-group are shown in the appendix 1-8. NP members are asked to consider them before making decision.

If the recommendations of the Wellbeing sub-group are accepted £838.50 will be available for the Green Mapping project.

### 3. Subgroup recommendations.

The table shown below shows the list of applicants who have applied for funding, the amounts they applied for and the amounts recommended by the Wellbeing sub-group. In the final column "Y" indicates that the project met the criteria to be funded from either Wellbeing or Green Capital. This may be useful as a reference note if it is decided that the recommendations of the Wellbeing sub-group should not be followed.

The NP is asked to approve the subgroup recommendations shown below.

Applicant	Project	Green Capital Applied for	Green Capital Recommended	Eligible for Wellbeing?
Friends of St George Park	Pathway to Nature	2,871.00	2,871.00	Y
Friends of Troopers Hill	Exploring St George's Green Spaces	2,023.00	2,023.00	Y
Soil Association	The Big Picnic	2,100.00	2,100.00	Y
Redfest	Redfest	900.00	900	Y
Summerhill Infants	Allotment and Grow Your Own	5,000.00	0	N
The Haven	The Haven Regeneration	1,535.00	767.5	Y
AEOB House People Ltd	Battens Lane House Community Vertical Garden	5,300.00	500	Y
Protect a pup	St George Be Dog Aware	4,868.88	0	Y
<b>TOTAL</b>		24,597.88	9,161.50	
<b>TOTAL LEFT FOR GREEN MAPPING PROJECT</b>		-14,597.88	<b>838.50</b>	

#### **4. Further Green Capital Funding**

Since the meeting of the Wellbeing sub-group it has been announced that a further £10,000 of Green Capital funding has been made available to the St George Neighbourhood Partnership. More information has been sent to the Neighbourhood Partnership Co-ordinator about two of the existing Green Capital applications.

In view of the extra money available the Wellbeing sub-group will review those applications using the additional information and bring an updated recommendation to the December SGNP meeting.

# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 1

### 1. Name of Project/Application: Pathway to Nature

#### Name of Applicant: Friends of St George Park

£2,871 to commission a management plan (£1,746) to be written for St George Park and funding for associated consultation and work to meet the recommendations of the management plan.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	M
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	N/A
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	M
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	N/A
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	N/A
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	M
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	L
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	H

### 6. Recommended Grant: £2871

### 7. Special Conditions:

An electronic link to the management plan be supplied to the St George Neighbourhood Partnership (SGNP) web team when it has been approved so it can be publicised on the SGNP website.

# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 2

### 1. Name of Project: Exploring St George's Green Spaces

#### Applicant: Friends of Troopers Hill

£2023 to fund 13 walks across St George, some with specialist leaders and to fund a craft or similar family activity in Dundridge Park. Friends of Troopers Hill, Friends of St George Park and Friend of Dundridge Park will be participating in providing the walks and sourcing experts where necessary. It is usual to limit numbers on walks to 25 so the leader's information can be heard.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	Med
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	N/A
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	Med
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	N/A
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	N/A
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	N/A
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	N/A
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	High

### 6. Recommended Grant: £2023

### 7. Special Conditions:

Publicity to make clear the St George Neighbourhood Partnership's contribution.

# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 3

### 1. Name of Project: The Big Picnic

#### Name of Applicant: Soil Association

£2,100 to pay for marquee hire (£1,200) and volunteer support and training as part of the Big Picnic aimed at involving Summerhill Infants, Summerhill Academy, Two Mile Hill Primary and Air Balloon Hill Primary schools in growing projects. There will be workshops and demonstration station to engage pupils in growing and cooking.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	Med
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	High
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	Med
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	N/A
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	Low
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	N/A
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	N/A
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	Med

### 6. Recommended Grant: £2,100

### 7. Special Conditions:

Publicity to include the St George Neighbourhood Partnership logo

# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 4

### 1. Name of Project: Redfest

#### Name of Applicant: Redfest

£900 for solar power and production for a stage and tents at next year's Redfest which will have a theme of "Redfest Goes Green" aimed at increasing knowledge of the environment, people's impact on it and encouraging recycling

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	Med
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	N/A
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	Med
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	Med
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	N/A
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	High
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	N/A
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	High

### 6. Recommended Grant: £900

### 7. Special Conditions:

Publicity to make clear the St George Neighbourhood Partnership's contribution. Posters to be legible. Preferred approach is for the posters to be reviewed by Bristol City Council's Equalities team if there is time otherwise, printers and designers to be advised they have to be legible by as wide an audience as possible particularly when displayed in A4 paper form on a noticeboard. St George Neighbourhood Partnership to be invited to have a stand at the event. Community tents at the event in St George's Park to be in as good positions at summer 2014.

Collated by Area Co-ordinator: Abdulrazak Dahir

Date 17/11/2014



# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 5

**1. Name of Project: Summerhill Infant School Allotment and Grow Your Own Project**  
**Name of Applicant: Summerhill Infant School**

£5,000 to redesign the school's allotment area (£4,500) which is currently contaminated with glass and to supply seeds, tools, etc.

**2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application**

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

**3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes**

**4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes**

**5. Panel Rating**

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as  
None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	

**6. Recommended Grant: £0**

**7. Special Conditions:**

This application was submitted with details of how it met both Wellbeing and Green Capital priorities. Summerhill Infants School does not meet the criteria to apply for Wellbeing funding unless they can be classed as "a community or voluntary group" and it was unclear whether the children would access the allotments in school-time which could mean this grant would replace statutory funding which again makes the application non-eligible for Wellbeing funding. The Wellbeing sub-group had insufficient information to properly assess this application for a Green Capital grant. At the time the Wellbeing sub-group met no quotations had been supplied giving a breakdown of the spend of £4,500 (a requirement on the application form is to supply 3 quotations for this value of application).



# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 6

### 1. Name of Project: The Haven Regeneration

#### Name of Applicant: The Haven

£1,535 to pay for gardening and woodworking equipment, web space and an open day event. The Haven is a 1.3 acre site providing a communal garden and relaxation spaces open to adults with issues surrounding mental health and/or alcohol and substance misuse. It is located in Greater Fishponds and has regular users of the facilities coming from St George, Greater Fishponds and other areas. Partner organisations such as Second Step, BSDAS (Bristol Specialist Drug and Alcohol Service), St Mungo's, BDP (Bristol Drug Project) and ROADS (Bristol Recovery Oriented Alcohol and Drugs Service) bring people to the site who sometimes return independently. The site does have security to protect any tools funded by this application.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? Yes

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	Med
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	High
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	Med
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	Med
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	N/A
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	N/A
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	High
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	High

### 6. Recommended Grant: £767.50

### 7. Special Conditions:

An identical funding application is being made to Greater Fishponds Neighbourhood Partnership. Therefore the Wellbeing sub-group are recommending that half the amount applied for is approved in the hope that Greater Fishponds will come to the same conclusion and fund the other half. Open day publicity to include the St George Neighbourhood Partnership logo.



# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 7

### 1. Name of Project: **Battens Lane Housing Community Vertical Garden**

#### Name of Applicant: **AEOB House People Ltd**

£5,300 to pay for a vertical garden involving work from the residents and giving the opportunity to involve other people from the surrounding community in workshop days. AEOB House People Ltd is a registered co-operative who are working to convert empty commercial building into community-owned affordable housing.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James, Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? **Yes**

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget? **Yes**

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	Low
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	Low
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	N/A
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	N/A
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	N/A
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	Low
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	N/A
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	N/A
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	Low

### 6. Recommended Grant: **£500**

### 7. Special Conditions:

At this stage of the project it is not known whether the eventual residents of the development in Battens Lane would want to carry out the project as currently envisage. The Wellbeing sub-group recommend that funding be given only for the architect's fee to integrate the garden with the building design. This would then give a clearer basis for estimates of costs and as residents are found and their opinions assessed a further Wellbeing application could be considered.



# Green Capital Appraisal Sheet



## Appendix 8

### 1. Name of Project: St George Be Dog Aware

#### Name of Applicant: Protect a Pup

£4,868.88 for the organisation Protect a Pup to provide low cost/free dog neutering or spaying (£3,500) and set up information stalls and distribute leaflets and dog waste bags to encourage responsible dog ownership.

### 2. Names of Wellbeing sub-group members appraising this application

Philip Bird, Judith Price, Susan Acton-Campbell, Denise James. Tina Hooper

### 3. Is the organisation eligible? Yes

### 4. Can the project be delivered within the timescale and budget?

### 5. Panel Rating

For each question the appraisal panel has rated the application as None, Low, Medium, High or Not Applicable.

No.	Question	Rating
a.	Is there a need for the project?	
b.	<b>Impact on Green Capital Priority</b>	
b(i)	Increasing people's access to affordable, healthy and sustainable food.	
b(ii)	Enabling more people to access affordable energy	
b(iii)	Inspiring and educating people about nature and how to care for it	
b(iv)	Providing activities and initiatives that help people to access, repair and share materials	
b(v)	Helping people to discover more sustainable transport (e.g. walking, cycling or public transport).	
c.	Is it fully inclusive to all residents?	
d.	Is the project targeting the "hard to reach" community we are trying to engage with in our Neighbourhood Partnership?	
e.	Does the project represent good value for money?	

### 6. Recommended Grant: £0

### 7. Special Conditions:

This application was submitted with details of how it met both Wellbeing and Green Capital priorities. The Wellbeing sub-group had insufficient information to properly assess this application. Questions ranged from the ability to distribute over 20,000 items (postcards, posters, dog waste bags) to who would do how many neutering/ spaying operations and whether the organisation would be bringing in rescue dogs from overseas.

With more applications than funding available and no time drivers on this project the Wellbeing sub-group recommend that they spend time working with the applicant to result in a revised application for the next round of Wellbeing funding. Dog fouling is a very high priority for the St George Neighbourhood Partnership and the panel would like to support an effective project that could deliver a

decrease in the problem.

Collated by Area Co-ordinator: Abdulrazak Dahir

Date 17/11/2014